Master in Theology in Theology & World Mission

Programme Specification



1. Programme title	Master in Theology in Theology & World Mission
2. Awarding institution	Middlesex University
3. Teaching institution	Oak Hill Theological College
4. Programme accredited by	N/A
5. Final qualification	Master in Theology (Integrated Master's)
6. Academic year	2011-12 – updated 29/08/2014
7. Language of study	English
8. Mode of study	Full-time

9. Criteria for admission to the programme

The MTheol in Theology & World Mission is a four year (full-time) integrated Master's Degree. Entrance requirements for those entering into Year 1 of the programme are the same as for the CertHE and FdA in Theology (normally specialising in Theology for Crossing Cultures) or as for the DipHE in Theology & World Mission: please see the individual programme specifications for details.

To progress to the third year of the MTheol, the student must obtain an average of a 2.1 (B+ on the FdA/DipHE scale; 6 or better on the Middlesex scale) in the first two years of study. Permission to progress to the third year of the MTheol will take place during the third term of the second year. This will involve consultation with the personal tutor, the Director of World Mission Studies, the Postgraduate Course Leader and the Admissions Officer. To continue on to the fourth year of the MTheol, students will need to obtain an overall grade of 8 or better for each module/dissertation in the third year.

Direct Entry Points

Normal entry is into Year 1 but, since September 2008, direct entry has been possible into the third year of the MTheol programme. Applicants will normally be required to have a 2.1 in the equivalent of a DipHE, FdA or BA (Hons) in Theology, with evidence of cross-cultural studies or comparable practical experience. In addition to these academic criteria, applicants for direct entry into the third year of the programme must also have completed an overseas placement.

Under certain circumstances, and at the discretion of the Academic Vice Principal, the Director of Postgraduate Studies and the Director of World Mission Studies, direct entrance <u>may</u> be permitted into the fourth year of the course. In line with the progression requirements outlined above, applicants will normally be required to have a 2:2 in the equivalent of a BA (Hons) in Theology. The applicant's previous study will need to meet the specific subject area pre-requisities for the fourth year of the MTheol in the three areas of Biblical Studies, Theology & Church History, and Church & World; in addition to these academic criteria, applicants for direct entry into the fourth year of the programme must also have completed appropriate overseas placements.

10. Aims of the programme

The programme aims to:

- Develop students as lifelong theological learners and equip them to harness and apply the fruits of such theological study in their diverse cross-cultural ministries;
- Provide students with a rounded, four-year theological programme, covering a full range of biblical, theological and pastoral studies up to postgraduate level, which are at, or informed by, the forefront of those fields of study;
- Provide an opportunity for sustained reflection on and engagement with a theological or ministerial topic through a research-based dissertation and/or project with a particular mission emphasis;
- Strengthen and sharpen students' intellectual and practical skills and develop in them the graces of the Christian theologian in order that they should be more effective in Christian cross-cultural ministry;
- Provide opportunity for students to reflect upon and integrate their prior theological studies and their experience of Christian cross-cultural ministry in the process of engaging critically with new learnings and to model this in the way in which teaching and learning are provided:
- Enable students to understand something of the demands and opportunities of theological research and equip them to begin such research if they would so choose.

11. Programme outcomes

Note: While the MTheol is an integrated four year programme, there is a direct entrance point into the third year, and all students entering their fourth year will have achieved an average grade of 2.1 or better in the first two years of study and an overall grade of 8 or better for each module/dissertation in the third year. For this reason, what follows builds upon rather than repeats focal (2:1 standard), not threshold, Learning Outcomes of the FdA in Theology (particularly the specialism in Theology for Crossing Cultures)/DipHE in Theology & World Mission and the BA (Hons) in Theology & World Mission.

The learning outcomes below are at the **threshold** level:

A. Knowledge and understanding

On completion of this programme the successful student will have:

1. An advanced and thorough understanding of parts of Scripture, their relationship to the whole, and

Teaching/learning methods

Students gain knowledge and understanding through:

 Lectures – giving overall perspective, exemplary discussions of a topic, models for considering and assessing different

- how to interpret them in different cultural contexts;
- 2. A systematic and advanced understanding of selected doctrines of the Christian faith and/or periods of church history and historical theology, and their significance for the contemporary church worldwide;
- **3.** An advanced understanding of how the Christian faith engages with various leading contemporary ethical and pastoral issues across cultures;
- An advanced understanding of the interconnectedness of the various elements within the study of theology globally;
- **5.** A thorough understanding of the methodologies and techniques used in the study of theology globally;
- **6.** An advanced understanding of the *Missio Dei* biblically, historically, theologically and in current practice throughout the world;
- 7. An understanding of other faiths from the perspective of an evangelical Christian theology.

- views of a subject and explanations of complicated material;
- Accompanying hand-outs;
- Interaction in the form of questions, discussion, dialogue and argument;
- Guided independent reading, some of which is subsequently discussed in class;
- Student-led seminars with questions and discussion;
- Dissertation supervisions;
- · Practical exercises and simulations;
- Problem analysis;
- Translation in class;
- Class discussion of primary texts;
- · Role play;
- Fieldwork;
- · Organising an event and reflecting on it;
- Individual written (and at times oral) feedback on written work;
- The formative dimension of assessment;
- Placement in a different cultural context:
- · Practical involvement in mission.

Assessment method

Students' knowledge and understanding are assessed by a combination of unseen written exams; assessed coursework in the form of essays, assignments and student-led seminars; book reviews; applied research projects; and dissertations.

B. Cognitive (thinking) skills

On completion of this programme the successful student will be able to:

- Critically and reflectively evaluate advanced scholarship in the theological disciplines, applying a variety of critical methods, assessing views and theories and, where appropriate, proposing alternatives;
- Evaluate methodologies employed in the theological disciplines and missiological practices, and develop critiques of them;
- 3. Construct and articulate an integrative, coherent, well-informed, critically engaged and sustained argument and develop original application of the knowledge and

Teaching/learning methods

Students learn cognitive skills through the teaching and learning methods outlined above. Each module, whatever the format of the teaching, involves discussion of key issues in order to develop the intellectual skills described.

Additional methods of particular importance in the development of these intellectual skills are: the deliberate modelling of these skills in lectures and classes; the individual feedback given on class contributions and assessed work; provision of special sessions on research skills and methodologies; and individual dissertation supervisions.

Moreover, a number of the teaching and learning methods mentioned above are employed with the intention that they should especially contribute to the development of

understanding it contains;

4. Demonstrate competence in postgraduate research skills through a substantial research dissertation and/or project with a mission emphasis. these skills. These include: guided independent reading; student-led seminars with questions and discussion; practical exercises and simulations; problem analysis; class reading and discussion of primary texts; and role play.

Assessment method

The various assessment methods employed (see Assessment under 'Knowledge and Understanding') all place great emphasis, as shown in the assessment criteria, on the learner's ability to demonstrate these skills. Both written pieces (essays, written examinations, dissertations) and oral presentations (e.g., student-led seminars) provide a vehicle for the demonstration of these skills.

C. Practical skills

On completion of the programme the successful student will be able to:

- Articulate systematic and creative responses to typical issues arising in Christian theology and ministry both cross-culturally in the UK and internationally;
- 2. Communicate those responses clearly to specialist and non-specialist audiences;
- 3. Plan and execute a substantial research dissertation, or dissertation and project, related to cross-cultural situations.

Teaching/learning methods

Students learn practical skills across the teaching programme. With regard to project work, students receive research skills training and one-on-one tutorial supervision.

Growing originality in the application of knowledge is modelled by faculty, forms a key dimension in class discussions and debates, is cultivated in simulations, practical exercises and problem analysis, and constitutes a major component of the formative objectives of assessment.

Assessment method

Students' practical skills are assessed by essay, exam and dissertation, international placements, and, in particular, by student-led seminars and assessed applied research projects.

12. Programme structure

12.1 Overall structure of the programme

The MTheol is a four-year (full-time) integrated Master's Degree building from a broad foundation of theological, pastoral and cross-cultural studies in the first three years to an area of in-depth specialisation of the student's choice in the fourth year.

The normal length of time a full-time student spends on the programme is four academic years of three terms each. The first two years are in effect the FdA in Theology (normally specialising in Theology for Crossing Cultures) or the DipHE in Theology & World Mission, and aim to cover in outline the principal areas of theological, pastoral and cross-cultural studies. Study is introductory in the first year, and then progresses to

a more sophisticated analytical level in the second year.

The latter two years (third and fourth year) bring the student to Degree level and then on to Master's level study. The third year builds on work in the first two years and involves high-level learning and greater specialisation. In-depth engagement with a mission-focused topic and sustained reflective writing are required by means of the compulsory short dissertation. In the fourth year the student will take a selection of compulsory and elective Master's-level modules, and can therefore specialise to a greater depth. The fourth year dissertation brings the student to a mature engagement with issues which have relevance for his or her own ministry, and requires independence in pursuing relevant lines of enquiry. Across the third and fourth years, students participate in the postgraduate research seminars, which expose them to a broad range of ideas and help inculcate critical thinking skills.

12.2 Levels and modules

Levels 4 & 5 (Years 1 & 2)

Please see the programme structure details for the FdA in Theology (normally the specialism in Theology for Crossing Cultures) or the DipHE in Theology & World Mission, as appropriate.

Students must obtain an average of a B+ (2.1) in the first two years of study in order to progress onto the MTheol programme. A lower average might allow them to continue with the BA (Hons) programme instead (see the Programme Specification for the BA (Hons) in Theology & World Mission).

Level 6 (Year 3)		
COMPULSORY	OPTIONAL	PROGRESSION REQUIREMENTS
Students must take all of the following: • TM3.1 (20-credit placement module) • TM4.2 and TM4.3 (both 20-credit taught modules) • SD4.1 (20-credit, 6,000-word, BA-level dissertation with mission emphasis) • Postgraduate Research Skills sessions (non-credit-bearing)	Students must also choose two other 20-credit elective modules from those on offer each year, at least one of which must be from the Biblical Studies area.	In order to continue to Level 7, Level 6 MTheol students must obtain a grade of at least 8 on the 15-point undergraduate scale for each module/ dissertation. Lower grades (9– 12) at Level 6 are still pass marks and might enable students to be awarded a BA (Hons) instead of proceeding to Level 7 of the MTheol.

Level 7 (Year 4)		
COMPULSORY	OPTIONAL	PROGRESSION REQUIREMENTS
 Either CW6.1 or CW6.2 Either RP6.4 (60-credit, 15,000-word, Master's-level dissertation, with mission emphasis), or RP6.2 (30-credit, 7,500-word, Masters-level dissertation) and RP6.3 (30-credit, 7,500-word, Masters-level project), both with mission emphasis Postgraduate Research Skills sessions (non-credit-bearing) 	Students must also choose one further 30-credit taught module (code 6.x or 5.x) from those on offer each year.	At Level 7 of the MTheol, the pass mark is 12 on the postgraduate scale. Lower grades are fail grades; however, it might be possible for the student to be awarded a BA (Hons) in Theology & World Mission instead.

12.3 Non-compensatable modules

All modules for the MTheol are non-compensatable.

13. Curriculum map

See attached.

14. Information about assessment regulations

See the current 'Information, Regulations & Procedures' Handbook, and the 'Progression Requirements' noted above.

15. Placement opportunities, requirements and support (if applicable)

Before the start of the third year, students are required to participate in an overseas placement for a minimum of one month. On return to College, the student will write up an essay and submit a report analysing the experience; this placement module (TM3.1) is credit-bearing and is a distinctive of the BA (Hons) and MTheol in Theology & World Mission: see the TM3.1 module description for details.

16. Future careers (if applicable)

Christian ministry of various sorts (pastoral ministry, missions, academic teaching and research, counselling, youth work and cross-cultural ministry).

17. Particular support for learning (if applicable)

- Extensive theological library (24-hour access to over 50,000 volumes);
- IT resources: Email, Virtual Learning Environment, CD-Rom resources, Internet, offsite EBSCO database access;
- Monthly postgraduate research seminars;
- Tuition sessions on postgraduate research skills;
- One-to-one dissertation supervisions:
- Special provision for students with special needs (e.g., English not first language, specific learning disabilities): see Information & Regulations handbook for details;
- Induction to College and academic life in 'Opening Week'

18. JACS code (or other relevant coding
system)

19. Relevant QAA subject benchmark group(s)

Theology & Religious Studies

20. Reference points

- Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives cognitive domains;
- Framework for Higher Education Qualifications;
- Subject Benchmark Statement for Theology & Religious Studies;
- QAA Master's Degree Characteristics;
- QAA Guidelines for Preparing Programme Specifications;
- Middlesex University Learning & Quality Enhancement Handbook;
- Quality in Formation Panel of the Archbishops' Council of the Church of England;
- Learning Pathways: Equipping Ministry in Multi-Religious Contexts (Church of England's Presence & Engagement Task Group, 2009);
- Oak Hill College Learning, Teaching & Assessment Strategy;
- Student, Staff, External Examiner and Graduates' feedback and comments (particularly through Assessment Board, Academic Board and the Courses Evaluation Committee);
- Global Connections Code of Best Practice for Short Term Mission (2010 & 2012).

21. Other information

Exit Points

Students registered for the MTheol whose circumstances (e.g., personal, financial) change during their course may decide to leave College before the end of the fourth year.

After one year, given the appropriate range of modules studied and credit total, a

student could exit and be awarded a CertHE in Theology. After two years, a student with the appropriate modules and credit total could be awarded the FdA in Theology. (In both cases, though, the student would not have technically registered yet for the MTheol, as this takes place upon progression to the third year.)

MTheol students who proceeded to the third year having completed the Oak Hill FdA, or who were admitted directly into the third year with an FdA equivalent, may exit at the end of the third year and be awarded a BA (Hons), on the basis of 360 accumulated credits. In such cases, the normal BA (Hons) pass mark of 12 or better (16 on the Middlesex scale) applies to each module rather than the 8 required to advance to the fourth year of the MTheol programme.

A 'third year Direct Entry' student who was admitted to the MTheol with a BA (Hons) or equivalent does not have this option of exiting with an Oak Hill BA (Hons). In this case, however, credits gained in the third year may be used towards any future course under the national Credit Accumulation & Transfer (CAT) scheme.

Award of the MTheol in TWM

This award can be marked Fail, Pass or Pass with Distinction.

- Students are awarded a *Pass* on an MTheol once they have attained certain grades (see below) or better in modules and dissertations worth 480 credits.
 - In the first two years, the pass grade is C (on the undergraduate grading scale) but the student must attain an overall average of B+ or better, over modules worth 240 credits, to be allowed to progress to the third year.
 - In the third year, the pass grade is 12 (on the undergraduate grading scale), but the student must attain for each module/dissertation an overall grade of 8 or better in order to continue to the fourth year.
 - In the fourth year, the pass grade is 12 (on the postgraduate grading scale).
- Students may be awarded a *Pass with Distinction* on an MTheol once they have attained all of the above, **and**, in the third and fourth years, have attained a rounded average of 3.5 or better in the taught modules and third year dissertation, and grade(s) 3 or better for the fourth year dissertation package.

Please note: Programme Specifications provide a concise summary of the main features of the programme and the learning outcomes that a typical student might reasonably be expected to achieve if s/he takes full advantage of the learning opportunities that are provided. More detailed information about the programme can be found in the relevant Student Programme Handbook and the University Regulations.

Appendix 1: MTheol List of Modules by Subject Area and Level¹

Listed below are all of the modules for Level 6 (codes 3.x and 4.x) and Level 7 (codes 5.x and 6.x) which comprise the third and fourth years of the MTheol in Theology & World Mission.

There are four main subject areas for Level 7 modules, along with hybrid codes for modules which encompass two subject areas: such modules may count in *either* subject area, but not both. Certain Level 6 modules similarly encompass more than one subject area, although their module codes do not clearly identify this: again, these modules may count in *either* subject area, but not both.

¹Please see the Programme Specifications for the FdA in Theology/DipHE in Theology & World Mission for modules available at Levels 4 and 5.

Certain modules are available at both BA Level (code 4.x) and Master's Level (code 5.x), for example OT4.7 and BS5.3. The 5.x version of the module may only be taken if the 4.x version has not previously been credited.

Please note that the availability of elective modules may vary year upon year, and students should check precise module offerings with the Academic Registrar.

SUBJECT AREAS

BS - Biblical Studies

DH – Theology & Church History²

CW - Church & World

RP - Research & Projects

BD – Biblical Studies and Theology & Church History

BW - Biblical Studies and Church & World

DW - Theology & Church History and Church & World

Biblical Studies

BH3.2 NT3.1 NT3.4 OT3.7 OT3.8	Reading Biblical Hebrew The Fourth Gospel 1 Corinthians The Psalms Wisdom Literature in English
BS4.1 BS4.2 NT4.7 OT4.7 ³ OT4.8 ⁴ OT4.10	Deuteronomy Advanced Biblical Hebrew Reading General Epistles Hebrew Psalms & Poetry Wisdom Literature Studying Prophetic Literature
BS5.1 BS5.2 BS5.3 BS5.5 BS5.7 BS5.9	Deuteronomy Advanced Biblical Hebrew Reading Hebrew Psalms & Poetry Wisdom Literature Studying Prophetic Literature General Epistles
BS6.5 BS6.9	The Old Testament in Scripture The Theology of the Book of Acts

Theology & Church History

CH4.7 DH4.1	Reading Historical Texts in English Doctrine of God
DH5.1	Doctrine of God
DH5.3	Doctrine of Salvation
DH5.7	Reading Historical Texts in English

² The D in the module codes stands for Doctrine.
³ OT4.7 may only be taken if module OT3.7 has not previously been credited.

⁴ OT4.8 may only be taken if module OT3.8 has not previously been credited.

Church & World

PC3.3 Pastoral Ministry
TM3.1 Second Summer Cross-Cultural Placement
CM4.6 Advanced Christian Leadership
TM4.2 Establishing Churches across Cultures
CW5.3 Apologetics for Mission
CW5.6 Advanced Christian Leadership
CW6.2 Advanced Islamic Studies

Biblical Studies and Theology & Church History

DN4.1	Justification in the Bible & in Christian Doctrine
BD5.1	Justification in the Bible & in Christian Doctrine
BD6.1	Christology in the Bible & in Christian Doctrine
BD6.2	Theology in the Old Testament & Today

Biblical Studies and Church & World

BW6.1 Pauline Spirituality

Theology & Church History and Church & World

DW3.1	Evangelical Public Theology
SH3.2	Christian Spirituality – Past & Present
HM4.1	Puritan Perspectives on Ministry
TM4.3	Global Christian Theology
DW5.1	Puritan Perspectives on Ministry
CW6.1	Christian Theology of World Religions

DW6.2 Doctrine of Grace

Research & Projects

SD4.1 Short Dissertation
RP6.2 Short Dissertation
RP6.3 Short Project
RP6.4 Long Dissertation
RP6.7 Guided Reading⁵

_

⁵ Reading Module (study area dependent on topic chosen by student)

Progressive Marking Scheme for Written Coursework and Examinations at Levels 4-6

The structure of the mark scheme:

The criteria within each classification band are given under the following categories which are taken to represent the ten key criteria for assessing a piece of written work at these levels: organisation; method; relevance; coverage of data; coverage of concepts; coverage of views; argumentation; independent judgement; writing style; presentation and apparatus.

The criteria develop in differing ways between the levels. Some of the criteria simply shift one to the left so that what they expect of a 2:1 in Level 4 they expect of a 2:2 in Level 5 (e.g. the coverage criteria). This is the case for criteria where real and clear progress is expected between each level.

With some of the criteria slower progression is expected between some levels, e.g. on independent judgement, so that the descriptions move more gradually. This is the case for the more demanding criteria.

Some of the criteria reach a peak and level off, e.g. already by Level 5 they expect very few mistakes in presentation and apparatus and do not subsequently raise this expectation to an impossible standard of flawlessness.

The use of the mark scheme:

- This scheme will be used in marking both written coursework and written examinations in all 1.x, 2.x, 3.x and 4.x modules, except modules or part-modules which consist of language work. For dissertations it is used in conjunction with the dissertation mark sheet. Obviously not all of the criteria will be applicable to both the coursework and examination elements of assessment. Apparatus, for example, is not assessed in examinations.
- The mark scheme is to serve as an agreed guide for markers, and will be applied at the discretion of markers in the light of judgements made about suitable expectations for a particular piece of work. In some coursework, for example, there may be much less taught material or reading available than in others, and the scheme is intended to allow markers the flexibility to recognize such differing expectations. It is intended to guide marking, not to hold it to ransom. In particular, a marker will exercise his/her discretion in determining the weighting between the criteria evident in a particular piece of work for determining the final mark.

Use of grade point N (Levels 4, 5 and 6) or 15 (Level 6):

• This marks scheme does not include reference to grade point N or 15, because these are the marks given where work is not submitted.

CRITERIA FOR LEVEL 4 (CD1.x, OT1.x, &c.)

CRITERIA FOR LEVEL 5 (OT2.x, NT2.x, &c.)

Organisation a. I Method b. I Relevance c. I Coverage of data d. I Coverage of concepts e. I Coverage of views f. I Argumentation g. I Independent judgement h. G Writing style i. I	Everything in its place Excellent, hints of creativity Entirely focused Broad and deep Broad and deep Broad and deep Incisive, original aspects Clear independence	B+ Very good k. Thoroughly structured l. Fitting m. Nearly all relevant n. Broad or deep o. Broad or deep p. Broad or deep q. Incisive, derivative r. Independent elements s. Very readable t. Few mistakes	B- Good u. Clear structure v. Largely appropriate w. Mostly relevant x. Key data covered y. Key concepts covered z. Key views covered aa. Coherent, derivative bb. Very largely second-hand cc. Good to read dd. Broadly accurate	ee. Structure evident ff. Basic but appropriate gg. Some relevant hh. Some real gaps ii. Some real gaps jj. Some mentioned kk. Some shape evident ll. Entirely derivative mm. Uneven nn. Numerous errors	F Fail oo. Minimal pp. Inappropriate qq. Largely relevant rr. Major gaps ss. Major gaps tt. Almost no awareness uu. Incoherent vv. None or random ww. Bad English xx. Deeply flawed
--	--	---	---	--	---

CRITERIA FOR LEVEL 6 (OT3.x, CD4.x, &c.)					
Organisation Method Relevance Coverage of data Coverage of concepts Coverage of views Argumentation Independent judgement Writing style Presentation and apparatus	 1-3 Excellent a. Everything in its place b. Fitting, creative c. Ruthlessly focused d. Exhaustive within scope e. Exhaustive within scope f. Exhaustive within scope g. Ruthlessly prosecuted h. Clear independence i. Sophisticated writing j. Very few mistakes 	4-6 Very good k. Everything in its place l. Fitting, hints of creativity m. Nearly all relevant n. Broad and deep o. Broad and deep p. Broad and deep q. Coherent and incisive r. Evidence of independence s. Well written t. Few mistakes	 7-9 Good u. Careful structure v. Appropriate w. Mostly relevant x. Good coverage y. Good coverage z. Key views covered aa. Largely coherent bb. Largely second-hand cc. Very readable dd. Broadly accurate 	ee. Structure evident ff. Basic but appropriate gg. Mostly relevant hh. Basic data covered ii. Basic concepts covered jj. Some covered kk. Some shape evident ll. Entirely derivative mm. Uneven nn. Numerous errors	oo. Minimal pp. Inappropriate qq. Some relevant rr. Very narrow ss. Very narrow tt. Almost no awareness uu. Very confused vv. None or random ww. Very rough xx. Deeply flawed

Progressive Marking Scheme for 'non-standard/placement-based coursework' at Levels 4-5

CRITERIA FOR LEVEL 4 (TR1.x, &c.)

Methodology Theological competence Content in light of task Pastoral discernment Mission orientation Application Integration of class- and work-based learning Logical coherence Organisation Presentation / delivery	A Excellent a. Very fitting b. Incisively evident c. Relevant; broad or deep d. Insightful e. Thorough f. Insightful g. Very well integrated h. Thoroughly coherent i. Thoroughly structured j. Very few mistakes	B+ Very good k. Fitting l. Considerable m. Key content covered n. Considerable o. Clearly evident p. Well applied q. Well integrated r. Mostly coherent s. Clear structure t. Well expressed	B- Good u. Broadly appropriate v. Some clearly evident w. Some content covered x. Some evident y. Some evident z. Some application aa. Some integration bb. Broadly coherent cc. Some structure evident dd. Good to read / hear	c Satisfactory ee. Barely appropriate ff. Limited competence gg. Major gaps hh. Limited ii. Limited jj. Minimal application kk. Limited integration II. Limited coherence mm. Minimal structure nn. Uneven	F Fail oo. Inappropriate pp. Little evident qq. Minimal content rr. None evident ss. None evident tt. Lacking application uu. Lacking integration vv. Barely coherent ww. Lacking structure xx. Poorly expressed
CRITERIA FOR LEVEL 5 (TR2.x, &c.)				
Methodology	A Excellent a. Thoroughly appropriate	B+ Very good k. Very fitting	B- Good u. Fitting	C Satisfactory ee. Broadly appropriate	F Fail oo. Barely appropriate

Methodology Theological competence Content in light of task Pastoral discernment

Mission orientation **Application** Integration of classand work-based learning Logical coherence Organisation Presentation / delivery

c. Relevant, broad and deep d. Very insightful e. Very thorough f. Very insightful and apposite g. Thoroughly and incisively integrated

b. Very incisive throughout

- h. Incisive throughout i. Everything in its place j. Impressively well expressed

- k. Very fitting I. Incisively evident m. Relevant, broad or deep
- n. Insightful o. Thorough p. Insightful
- q. Very well integrated
- r. Thoroughly coherent s. Thoroughly structured t. Very few mistakes

- u. Fitting v. Considerable
- w. Key content covered x. Considerable y. Clearly evident
- z. Well applied aa. Well integrated
- bb. Mostly coherent cc. Clear structure dd. Well expressed

- ee. Broadly appropriate ff. Some clearly evident gg. Some content covered
- hh. Some evident ii. Some evident
- ij. Some application kk. Some integration
- II. Broadly coherent mm. Some structure nn. Good to read/hear

- oo. Barely appropriate pp. Limited competence
- qq. Major gaps
- rr. Very limited ss. Very limited
- tt. Minimal application
- uu. Very limited integration
- vv. Limited coherence ww. Minimal structure
- xx. Uneven

Marking Scheme for Assignments at Levels 4-6 (Pass/Fail Only)

The structure and use of the mark scheme:

This scheme will be used in marking particular assignments where it is felt that the piece of work concerned can only realistically be graded as Pass or Fail (with a Fail grade being applied for a token or non-submission): an example would be a sermon preached to a regular congregation in a genuine local church context. Students will be told in advance to which pieces of assessment this particular scheme will be applied within a given module. Please note that, unless an extension has been agreed by the Academic Vice Principal, any such assignment which is *not* submitted by the set deadline will be graded as 'N' for Token or Non-Submission.

CRITERIA FOR LEVELS 4, 5 and 6 (TR1.x, TR2.x, TM3.x, CM4.x, &c.)

P Pass Satisfactory Submission
N Fail Token or Non-Submission

Progressive Marking Scheme for Written Coursework and Examinations at Level 7

The structure of the mark scheme:

The scheme covers different aspects of a piece of work, from reading and research, through argumentation to presentational standards. Key criteria include: organisation; method; relevance; coverage of data; coverage of concepts; coverage of views; argumentation; independent judgement; writing style; presentation and apparatus.

The use of the mark scheme:

- This scheme will be used in marking both written coursework and written examinations in all 5.x and 6.x modules, except for dissertations and for modules or part-modules which consist of language work. For dissertations it is used in conjunction with the dissertation mark sheet. Obviously not all of the criteria will be applicable to both the coursework and examination elements of assessment. Apparatus, for example, is not assessed in examinations.
- The mark scheme is to serve as an agreed guide for markers, and will be applied at the discretion of markers in the light of judgements made about suitable expectations for a particular piece of work. In some coursework, for example, there may be much less taught material or reading available than in others, and the scheme is intended to allow markers the flexibility to recognize such differing expectations. It is intended to guide marking, not to hold it to ransom. In particular, a marker will exercise his/her discretion in determining the weight between the criteria evident in a particular piece of work for determining the final mark.
- The criteria will be used cumulatively, so that a Level 7 piece of work will be required to meet the relevant positive criteria for work done at Levels 4, 5 and 6.

1-3 - Excellent	4-6 – Very Good	7-9 – Good	10-12 - Satisfactory	13-15 – Fail
Work submitted is excellent, demonstrating high levels of competence across a range of criteria and leaving very little or no room for improvement a. an entirely relevant answer to the question in which each of the component parts makes an integrated and clear contribution to the whole b. thorough knowledge of the obviously relevant sources and initiative in seeking out additional sources c. well-directed application of knowledge from, or informed by, the forefront of the relevant discipline with no relevant ground omitted d. advanced, sophisticated and integrated conceptual understanding e. outstanding analytical, synthetic management of sources f. the generation and clear articulation of independent critical insights g. originality or creativity in developing new perspectives, insights or arguments h. deployment of a wide and relevant range of disciplinary perspectives and methods i. clear, coherent, well-structured, logically well-formed, and sustained argument lucidly and elegantly expressed j. virtually flawless presentation and citation	Work submitted is very good but lacks characteristics of excellent work or across a range of criteria still leaves room for improvement k. a relevant and focussed answer to the question in which the component parts make a clear contribution to the whole l. thorough knowledge of the obviously relevant sources m. well-directed application of knowledge from, or informed by, the forefront of the relevant discipline n. advanced conceptual understanding o. very good analytical and synthetic management of sources p. some independent critical insight and/or the judicious application of sophisticated critical insight of others q. some originality or creativity in developing new perspectives, insights or arguments r. deployment of a relevant range of disciplinary perspectives and methods s. clear, coherent, wellstructured, logically wellformed, and sustained argument which is very wellwritten t. very good presentation and citation	Work submitted is good but there is significant room for improvement across a range of criteria u. an answer to the question as set but with some relevant material missing and / or some irrelevant material included v. a good knowledge of the obviously relevant sources w. generally accurate application of knowledge informed by the forefront of the relevant discipline although acquired mostly in class or from set reading x. good conceptual understanding y. good analytical and synthetic management of sources z. little or no independent critical insight but good application of the critical insight of others though with a tendency to general argument aa. some originality or creativity in developing new perspectives, insights or arguments bb. deployment of the essential disciplinary perspectives and methods cc. an organised, coherent and well-written argument with a recognisable conclusion and supporting reasons dd. generally accurate presentation and good citation of sources	Work submitted is acceptable (it attains threshold standard) but it is either seriously defective in one or two respects or minimally acceptable across a range of criteria ee. an answer to the question is recognisable but with noticeable omissions and irrelevancies ff. an adequate knowledge of a reasonable proportion of the obviously relevant sources gg. unfocussed application of knowledge acquired only in class or from set reading hh. adequate understanding of key concepts ii. some endeavour to inhabit and integrate a variety of sources jj. little or no independent critical insight along with adequate - and not always pertinent - application of the critical insight of others though with a tendency to general argument kk. little or no independence or creativity shown II. limited but adequate deployment of the essential disciplinary perspectives and methods mm. a recognisable argument, adequately organised but marked by logical errors and/or satisfactory rather than pleasing expression nn. adequate accurate presentation and good citation of sources	Work is submitted but is characterised by unacceptably low standards oo. the demands of the task have been barely addressed pp. insufficient knowledge of the relevant material qq. such knowledge as is shown is not used to answer the question rr. inadequate grasp of key concepts ss. use of sources is uncritical and not integrated tt. little or no critical engagement uu. entirely or almost entirely dependent and derivative vv. inadequate understanding and deployment of relevant methods ww. argument is either absent or incoherent and unstructured and is poorly expressed xx. many mistakes in presentation and failure to observe accepted norms of academic presentation in matters of citation of sources and bibliography OR yy. Token or no submission